

After the conferences, our view of the Westminster parties:-

NO-HOPERS!

IN TWO MONTHS' TIME Britain, along with the rest of the world, will be entering the last decade of the 20th century. What happens in that decade is going to have a vital bearing on the kind of nation we are when we enter the 21st century. Will we begin the new century as a nation that has recaptured its former strength — or at least one that shows some promise soon of doing so? Or will we remain the nation that we are now, a nation muddling along from one crisis to the next, economically stagnant and politically impotent, a nation divided against itself and despised by the rest of the world? The 1990s will tell. Either these coming years will be years of dynamic internal change or we will face the century to follow with little hope for coming generations.

If the parties that have ruled us for most of the present century — alternating with one another in the familiar old game of political musical chairs — are going to be the parties in firm and unchallengeable control ten years from now, with no strong alternative on the horizon, then there will indeed be no hope. One look at the recent old-gang party conferences was enough to make that clear to one and all.

For these conferences indicated, right across the board, a total lack of real leadership and an equally total lack of any firm policies of the kind we are going to need to face the future as a nation able to hold its own in the world, while being a decent one to live in — for all its people.

The first of the conferences of the 'Big Two' parties was the Labour jamboree at Brighton. Over the past

year or two, Labour has been at pains to get rid of its 'loony left' image. Some of the most prominent of the party's left-wing extremists have been shunted onto the sidelines. Some of the barmiest left-wing policies have been dropped from the party's manifesto. Total unilateral nuclear disarmament has been abandoned — at least on the surface, and in its place Labour has adopted a defence posture that is all things to everybody while offering no firm commitment to any kind of defence at all. At the same time, Labour has dropped its allegiance to full-blooded public ownership and started to move towards Mrs. Thatcher's concept of the 'market economy' — the market economy, mark you, which has created massive unemployment, industrial ruin and near national bankruptcy!

But it is abundantly obvious that these changes are purely cosmetic and wholly lacking in any real conviction. The Labour leadership has become convinced that the party has got to don new clothes if it is going to stand a chance again of winning elections. Indeed, in many of the speeches made in support of the new programme it was quite obvious that the only thing that mattered was to find a way of buying votes.

And at the end of it all the song sung by the delegates was the same song as in the past: 'The Red Flag'. This, more than anything else, betrayed the fact that Labour's true loyalties have not changed.

THE SHEPHERDESS SPEAKS TO HER SHEEP

A short time afterwards, up at Blackpool, the Tories assembled for their annual show. And what a depressing and yawning affair it was — the same old faces, the same old platform clichés, the same captive audience of dedicated Thatcher acolytes, ready to bay allegiance to their leaderess in the manner of programmed zombies. In fact, the conference took place against a backdrop of mounting national problems — the fruits of a decade of misrule on nearly every front, and this year even a good many of the Tory faithful were beginning to have



A FEW OF THE SINGERS HAVE DEPARTED — BUT THE SONG IS THE SAME
Here Labourites are seen linking their arms and giving full voice at the end of their conference in Brighton. A few of the more embarrassing personalities in the party have been moved to the background and a few of the barmier policies have been given draped in new clothes. But the final song remains the same. It is the 'Red Flag', as usual. Labour's old allegiances have not changed; only the shopfront has been given a face-lift.

their doubts as to whether the Government was travelling in the right direction. The poll tax was starting to worry great numbers, even in the smug Tory south-eastern heartlands, while few people liked Chancellor Lawson's latest increase in the borrowing rate, hitting as this did so many young middle-class holders of large mortgages.

It is interesting, as an observation of the mentality of diehard Tories, that they only begin to show a spark of discontent when their party's policies hit them personally — by threatening some self-centred material interest. The same growing concern about these matters is now being shown in the angry reaction to the Government's urban development schemes, which threaten the environment in many formerly safe Tory constituencies. 'Not in my backyard!' is the new cry of the Conservative rebels against the appalling results of Maggie's 'free market' doctrines as seen in the stockbroker belt and other similar areas. Of course, the same policies have created environmental ruin over many other parts of Britain for decades, but this is of small interest to the clones who attend Tory annual conferences; only when they themselves start to face the prospect of their own genteel and sheltered neighbourhoods being radically altered for the worse do they begin to feel unease and discontent.

But that is — and always has been — the essence of modern 'Conservatism': a doctrine that appeals basically to the selfishness, greed and egocentricity of one particular

section of the British population — without any regard for the overall national good.

This section of the populace has witnessed the craven surrender of a great empire, the treasonable abandonment of national freedom and sovereignty to the EEC, the systematic sell-out of British manufacturing industry and the wholesale take-over of many of our towns and cities by alien migrants. In the face of all these things, it has hardly raised a whimper of anger. But now that its own little world of neat suburbia and 'Yuppie' values is confronted with the bulldozer looming on the horizon it starts to get restless and apprehensive. This was the atmosphere in which Mrs. T. proceeded to Blackpool to deliver her annual ritual pulpit address to her familiar flock.

THE FAITHFUL 'REASSURED'

In the event, the Prime Minister got her customary standing ovation and everyone — well, nearly everyone — went home happy. If TV interviews following the Thatcher speech are anything to go by, the flock were 'reassured'. Some had come to the big show worried, they confessed, about how things were going. But Mrs. T's closing speech had swept away their worries as if into the Irish Sea winds. 'Isn't she marvellous!' was the final sentiment.

For a party conference to be mollified by mere speechifying of this kind just shows what a blind and unthinking herd the Tory faithful

have become. The reality of Toryism as it confronts the coming 1990s is that it is utterly threadbare in the way of new policies to cope with the mounting national catastrophe that is the product of its misgovernment throughout the 1980s. All that is being contemplated is a little bending here and a little softening there — a more considerate ear to the 'green' lobby, as epitomised by the appointment of a new and 'caring' Environment Minister in Mr. Chris Patten, a greater endeavour than in the past to present the 'Iron Lady' as an equally 'caring', 'compassionate' and 'sympathetic' mother to her people — and so on and so forth. Behind all this gloss, the basic policies that have wrecked Britain over the past decade are going to continue: further integration into Europe, further inroads by foreign manufacturers into the British market, continued adherence to the policy of a 'multi-racial' society, continued impotence before the rampages of the mugger, the rapist and the terrorist. The more things change, as the French say, the more they carry on as they are!

THE FLABBY 'CENTRE'

And what alternative have those people who are tired of both the two ruling parties but want to continue voting for some party that has a foothold in parliament and enjoys acceptance by the media as being politically 'respectable'? Well, it all boils down to just three choices: they can have the nutty 'Greens',

Contd. overleaf (top)



MAGGIE'S YEARLY SALUTE
The sycophants clap and the sheep cheer. Another Tory conference has ended, and most are happy. Meanwhile Britain continues along the road to national ruin and oblivion.

British Nationalist

No. 95 NOVEMBER 1989
Editor: John Morse

THE DEATH PENALTY: NOTHING HAS CHANGED

NO SOONER had the conviction of the so-called 'Guildford Four' been quashed by appeals judges as 'unsafe' on the evidence produced at the original trial than every professional dripping wet in politics, and every liberal trendy in the mass media, had leapt off their backsides, almost as if at a word of command, to trumpet their thankfulness that there was no longer a death penalty in this country.

At the head of the chorus was none other than the then Home Secretary Douglas Hurd, one of the people most responsible for the present appalling state of law and order in Britain. If we still had hanging, proclaimed Hurd on TV, the four would have been executed, and he would then have been apologising to their relatives over their graves.

All very emotive stuff. But before anyone allows his feelings to run away with his brains he should stop to consider whether this is really the kind of climate in which this most serious matter should be dealt with. It is our heads that should rule us over such an issue, not our hearts.

Of course, we are all agreed that the wrongful execution of an innocent person would be deplorable, and every safeguard should be taken in our system of criminal justice to prevent this. But it is nevertheless foolish to become squeamishly taken up with this side of the picture alone. It is not just the innocent victim of misguided official justice who has to be considered; just as deserving of concern and sympathy is the innocent victim of savage crime.

Since hanging, birching and other harsh penal measures were abolished in Britain there has been an explosion of just this very type of crime. Not least, there have been far more murders — and much more vicious murders — than ever before.

Children are brutally done to death with refinements of sadism. Terrorists are readier than ever to use the bullet and the bomb at random without a second thought. Those who would die as a result of these vile acts are just as much innocent victims as anyone wrongfully convicted in a court and then executed.

The real question is whether more innocent lives are going to be spared under a system where the death penalty is restored, or under the present system where it does not exist.

To us, the record speaks for itself, and the answer is obvious.

The reason why so many murderous sub-humans are on the rampage in our society today as never before is that under the present regime they know perfectly well that they will not have to face death if caught.

We see no reason to question the wisdom of our forebears in this matter. They too knew that it was possible, very occasionally, for the innocent person to die on the gallows. But they reasoned that this was a price that society should be prepared to pay for the safeguarding of many more innocent lives.

However much the liberal anti-hanging brigade might try to make capital out of it, the case of the Guildford Four is, to all intents and purposes, irrelevant to the debate on capital punishment. We still say: Bring back the rope!

NO-HOPERS!

(Contd. from prev. page)

who want to reduce the population of Britain by half — while doing nothing to curb the rabbit-like breeding of the Third World nations; the ever more absurd 'Paddy Waggon' of Mr. Ashdown and his Democrats, who have now changed their name from 'Social and Liberal Democrats' to just 'Liberal Democrats', in the presumed hope that this will win back the deserting droves of their former supporters; or Dr. David Owen, whose Social Democratic Party, if it continues on its present course, will soon consist just of the Doctor himself, his wife and Rosie Barnes.

From none of these three 'centre' parties is there the glimmer of a policy of the kind needed to cope with the chaos that rules Britain as we near the end of 1989, only pathetic rehashes of the various

'wet', 'middle-of-the-road' prescriptions that have been common currency among such people for the past 40 years — with one or two crazy additions, such as the Democrats' proposal that the age of consent for practising homosexuals be lowered to 16. Forward Britain — under such inspiring rallying cries!

For this motley ragbag of third-rate comedians and comedienettes there can only be one apt description, and we have given at the head of our front page: **No-hopers!** For there cannot be any hope for this benighted country as we edge up to a new milestone in the calendar of world history with such a puerile bunch of 'leaders' and such a pathetic dustbinful of worn-out fantasies masquerading as 'policies'.

THE ONLY REAL ALTERNATIVE

There is, however, a ray of hope for the British people, there is a

lifebelt for this nation whereby we can survive and prosper in the 21st century — if we are prepared to grasp it, if we really still want to live as a nation and as a people.

It will mean that many of us must ditch former deeply-held convictions, cast our eyes towards radically new horizons and accept ideas that millions have been house-trained by the old politicians and media to regard as 'unacceptable'.

It means that many of us will have to develop a new kind of toughness of outlook in our approach to the many important national and international problems that confront us today and are going to confront us, with even greater starkness, tomorrow.

It means that we shed many of the cosy and comfortable illusions in which Britain has basked during the long period of national decline that has marked most of the century soon nearing its end — illusions fostered

in the climate of 'liberalism' that has ruled the heads and the hearts of most of our governing classes.

This one alternative to ruin and despair for Britain as she nears the 21st century is **British Nationalism**, as manifest in the programme of the British National Party — now the only truly radical force in British politics.

We have ten years in front of us before the new century is here. During those ten years British Nationalism must become, either victorious to the extent of Nationalists taking hold of the reins of government, or, if not that, successful in its growth to the extent of the BNP being among the front-runners of the political parties contesting for power in this country.

It means that the 1990s are going to be a make-or-break decade for our movement. Let this truth concentrate our minds — and galvanise our efforts!

NEW POLICIES FOR THE NEW AGE

Tyndall points the way forward at BNP October rally



John Tyndall addresses London rally

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY leader, John Tyndall, speaking to the party's annual rally in London on the 14th October, spelled out the policies needed if Britain was to have a future in the 21st century. Mr. Tyndall said that for these policies to become possible the last decade of the present century must witness the emergence of a great and powerful movement of radical change. Such a movement must have its eyes firmly set on the needs of the century to come. The following are a few selected quotations from the BNP leader's speech:-

The BNP and the Westminster parties

"One of the great differences between them and us — they, the politicians of the old world, and we, the crusaders for a new world — is that while they can only think as far ahead as the next election, we think of the long-term future. Our concern is for the future of the British people — not just 10 years ahead, not just 20 years, but 100 years ahead! Our horizons embrace the ultimate destiny of this nation; theirs embrace only the perceived advantages of the moment."

The 21st century

"The nations that will survive that century and prosper in that century are going to be the nations that are fit and strong — the nations with the powerful resources and who use those resources to the best effect; the nations that are cohesive, the nations that think and act as one; the nations that are strongly led, organised and with discipline — above all, the nations whose people have the attributes of greatness, the racial qualities necessary to survive and to win!"

What is needed now

"I'm in no doubt that if there is to be a future for us in the 21st century what must occur in the coming 10 years is a revolution — a revolution so radical, so far-reaching, so fundamental that by the turn of the century, or very soon after, the country that our younger generation will inherit will be a country unrecognisable from the one in which we live now."

The present state of anarchy and chaos in Britain

"What do all these things point to? They point to a government that is not in control. The first need of our revolution is a government of real strength."

Government

"We must take the institution of government out of the arena of party warfare — how can you have proper government when you have all the political leaders divided up into factions, fighting each other?"

The old parties' talk about 'freedom' and their accusation that policies like those of the BNP are a 'threat to freedom'

"I ask you all here: can there be, in all the miserable annals of human humbug, across the ages, any more insolent

example of the pot calling the kettle black? These opponents of ours, who've snuffed out every worthwhile freedom there is, who've created a gagged press, a controlled television, who'll deny the right of assembly to everyone they don't like, and who fling into jail anyone who dares to stand up and criticise their multi-racial society — they talk to us about 'freedom'. What a nerve! What a fraud!"

The economy

"Our revolution must transform the economy just as it must transform so much else. We won't waste time with the arguments between the old left and the old right: the old left, who want to nationalise everything, and the old right, who want to privatise everything. For the economy we shall have a simple formula: British resources owned by British people, producing for British people; British people buying British goods; British money invested in Britain and made to serve the full capacity of British production — and the whole system directed by British Government."

"Our economy will certainly reward the wealth-producer — handsomely. But our wealth-producer will not be Mrs. Thatcher's type of wealth producer. Mrs. Thatcher's wealth-producer is the financial spiv who can make a fortune in a week, sitting with a computer on his left and a telephone on his right, buying and selling currencies around the world like a punter at the races. Our wealth-producer will be the worker in genuine industry who, whether by hand or by

brain, makes a real contribution towards the enrichment of Britain — all of Britain!"

The type of society Britain needs

"Our revolution must be one that creates an entirely new type of society — a society whose members have a sense of belonging together, a feeling of community — instead of just so many million individuals, each out for himself."

National discipline

"No society can function without discipline. One part of a healthy social order is the right of the individual to be helped when in need. The other part is the duty of the individual to work and to serve. What do we see today in the anarchy of the football grounds, in the filth of our streets, in the unfitness of so many of our people? We see a society in which discipline has been thrown out of the window because nobody has the will to enforce it anymore. In the nation that we work for, discipline will return. It will start in the schools. It'll be supported in the home. And it'll be promoted by the mass media as well as by every other institution in the nation's life. Look at the legions of the scruffy, the slovenly, the hooligans of today who disgrace this country's name in the cities of the world. They're the children of 'liberal democracy'. They're the offspring of a society that's gone to pot. In the land that we shall build, this rabble will be transformed into an army, an army of useful citizens — upright, proud, strong, dedicated to serve their

race — in peace at all times, in war when necessary."

Race and immigration

"Everyone knows what must be done about the festering sore of immigration. Everyone knows that it has to be stopped — not just 'curbed', not just 'limited', but stopped entirely. And everyone knows that there must be repatriation — not just of some of them, not just of those who 'volunteer' to go, but of the whole shooting match, the whole shebang! Everyone here knows that nothing less will do."

The necessary vehicle for revolutionary change

"Our movement must, above all, be a movement of action... If any organisation is capable of this, it is ours. We've deliberately created a movement that is much more like an army than a political party in the old sense — for nothing less than a movement built on these lines is going to be capable of leading the revolution to which our lives are dedicated."

Conclusion

"All around us a world is collapsing, a world of lies, a world of deceit, a world of treachery and betrayal — the legacy of years of misrule and neglect, the legacy of a system that has come to the end of the road. Through the rubble of that system we can now hear the tread of a new generation on the march. We can hear the drumbeat of new legions of men and women, coming forward to take up the banners of the creed of a new century. We must count it an honour that we're born to live in a time comparable to the greatest ages in the history of our race — a time calling for courage and heroism, nobility and duty — a time when the ideals of sloth and comfort are behind us. Far in the future, when the history of this time is written, let it be said of us that we lived up to the mighty traditions of our ancestors, that we were prepared to fight, to the last drop of blood, so that a great nation might rise again!"

RALLY '89

A VIDEO film of the recent BNP Annual rally, from which these excerpts are taken, is now being made and will shortly be available. Details of the video, entitled 'Rally '89', will be given in the near future. Don't place any orders yet, but keep your money ready!

Yes, scrap the Commonwealth — BUT KEEP THE WHITE PART OF IT!

ONCE AGAIN, a Commonwealth conference has ended in a shambles of mutual backstabbing and recrimination. Once again, the British public has been treated, by courtesy of TV, to the spectacle of sundry ludicrous 'Third World' politicians, all of whose countries are subsidised by ourselves, using an international platform of great prominence to slag off this country.

The cause of the big argument was of course South Africa — a nation that is not even a member of the Commonwealth. Most of the delegates at the Commonwealth meeting in Kuala Lumpur want to step up sanctions against South Africa, while Mrs. Thatcher is

means of maintaining normal relations with them.

It all strikes us as a rather ridiculous quarrel, because everyone at the conference wanted the same thing: the scuppering of the South African Whites and the handing over of their country to marxist rule — with the inevitable result of chaos that that would bring, as evidenced by what has happened to 'Zimbabwe' (formerly Rhodesia) and most of the rest of Africa. Our paper can no more support Mrs. Thatcher, with her policy of bringing down the South African Whites by 'softly-softly' methods, than it can support the likes of Sonny Ramphal and Kenneth Kaunda, who want a full-blooded economic war against them. We believe in fact that South Africa should remain under white leadership, and we deplore the fact that her Government is now retreating from this principle in concession to external pressure.

But this is wanted neither by Mrs. Thatcher nor by the ranting coloured demagogues at Kuala Lumpur and their white renegade lackeys, like Australian premier Bob Hawke and Canadian leader Brian Mulroney.

Which all makes the big row between the two factions so ludicrous — aren't they both on the same side?

ABSURDITY

The rumpus in Kuala Lumpur has once again underlined the absurdity of the Commonwealth — as now constituted. It clearly serves not the slightest useful purpose, as we have maintained for years. Now even

some of the major newspapers are coming round to the same view.

In the *Daily Express* leader column on October 25th it was stated:

"The public is tired of such sickening displays of collective humbug."

"That the British taxpayer makes the biggest contribution to keeping the whole farcical show on the road makes it even harder to bear."

"...one wonders if we really need to continue the Commonwealth charade for much longer."

This echoed *The Sun's* leader the day before, which said:-

"We offer Margaret Thatcher more advice."

"Make it a sailor's farewell to any more Commonwealth conferences."

"The day may come soon when Britain will have to say farewell to the Commonwealth itself."

Sunday Telegraph Editor Peregrine Worsthorne, writing in the same vein on October 22nd, said:-

"The need for a make-believe UN world is collapsing and no part of the rubble will be less missed than the Commonwealth."

While we are no friends of any of these newspapers, we are in agreement with them over these sentiments. But there is one consideration that they have ignored.

The Commonwealth does not just consist of upstart Third World states that are a burden on the British taxpayer. It also includes mainly white countries like Australia, New Zealand and Canada.

These are all countries which have tremendous futures. In two of them, Australia and New Zealand, people of British stock are still in a strong

majority. In the other, Canada, people of the same stock are still the largest single ethnic group.

These countries were all loyal to us in two world wars, sending the finest of their sons to augment our forces and help us to victory.

They all possess valuable resources. Australia and Canada, in particular, have immense reserves of mineral wealth.

And all have abundant open spaces in which people of our kind can settle without overcrowding.

Australia and Canada will not always be led by second-rate fools and nonentities like Hawke and Mulroney.


In a new age — an age very different from the one in which we are now living — these countries may well again find that they need each other, that they are in fact each other's best and most natural friends.

Britain, in a new century certain to be dominated by big powers, could, in partnership with these old friends, exercise enormous strength; without them she would just be an offshore island.

So, while we should certainly scrap the Commonwealth as it now stands, we should not permanently sever our ties with our kindred in the former dominions.

On the contrary, we should keep those ties alive, so that out of them we may one day form some kind of new association to replace the ridiculous Commonwealth.

That is why we say: "Yes, scrap the Commonwealth — but keep the white part of it!" For the latter could be our future salvation.



PARADE OF THE CLOWNS
Bob Hawke and Sonny Ramphal dance a jig at the recent Commonwealth pantomime

opposed to this policy, claiming that the South Africans can be better induced to dismantle apartheid by

Govt. Wrecking Britain's footwear industry

THE British footwear industry is struggling desperately to weather the impact of high interest-rates and cheap imports.

Eastaughts have called in receivers in two Leicestershire plants. The Northamptonshire firm of Brevitt is closing down.

Thatcher's 'economic miracle' shows no signs of flagging, and it is interesting to note the role of government in this latest episode.

To begin with, it has slashed financial support for British shoe companies wishing to exhibit at overseas trade fairs. Beyond this, however, it is funding a programme to help Indian shoe-makers to export their products to Britain!

The three-year programme is part of a £2.5 million project financed by the Overseas Development Administration. When it finishes next year, some forty people will have received training in Leicester in technology and marketing, in the words of the Developing Countries' Trade Agency, to "assist the Indian shoe-manufacturing industry to understand the requirements of the UK market and to assist Indian shoe-makers in a practical way to meet the specific demands of British buyers."



BRITISH SHOES
Will soon be extinct if Government policies continue

Appropos of what he calls this 'mindless altruism', Mr. Neil Wright, Marketing Director of the British Footwear Manufacturers' Federation, has observed: "Charity begins at home. We have companies, including good companies, which have invested in the latest technology, popping off like flies here. It is inconceivable that any other country in the world would do this."

But the 'mindless altruism' Mr. Wright condemns has in fact been routine liberal-democratic procedure since the 1920s, when foreign competitors were regularly subsidised to flood Britain with the merchandise of sweated labour. The inequities of 'free market' ideology do not change; all that changes is the intensity with which they are applied. That is a lesson still to be learned.

The predicament of our footwear industry serves to vindicate the logic and necessity of nationalist economic policy, which proposes the exclusion from Britain of all foreign goods of a kind that can be produced here at home: British labour producing for British markets.

It also demonstrates, once again, that Thatcher is the kept woman of cosmopolitan finance.

From *Nationalist Response*
(Organ of South Wales BNP)

DECLINE IN SPORTS FACILITIES HAVING AN APPALLING EFFECT ON BRITISH YOUTH

"BRITAIN'S CHILDREN are turning into a nation of flabby, overweight telly addicts prone to heart disease from an early age...because they do not play enough sport in school."

These were the opening words in an alarming article in the *Daily Express* on October 26th, exposing the dangerous decline in the number of hours given by schools in this country to sport and physical education.

Our nation's dedication to the physical fitness of its young has never matched that of some others around the world, notably Russia, East and West Germany, Romania and Bulgaria, to mention a few.

But now things are far, far worse than they were ten or twenty years ago. Physical education used to take up about ten per cent of school curriculum time. That has now been reduced to about five per cent, or one hour per week.

One of the causes of this decline is the

reduced amount of land in many areas available for school playing fields. Many of the local authorities responsible were Labour-controlled ones. Getting themselves deeply into debt, they looked for a way out without increasing the rates. One ready-made solution was to sell off these school playing fields to property developers. As an example of what a handy way this is for raising money, a two-acre pitch in London fetches around £2 million. According to the *Daily Express* article, "at least 27 have already been sold off — probably many more."

Quite apart from these short-term financial considerations, Labour councils had another reason for their downgrading of school sports. Said the *Express*:-

"The rot began when trendy left-wingers decided that competition was bad for children. Labour councils jumped on the bandwagon and pupils

were told to play football — but not score any goals."

But lest anyone be inclined just to blame Labour for what has been going on, let it be known that the present Government is equally guilty. Even the *Express* (a pro-Tory paper) admitted:-

"The Government told schools to sell off playing fields, and builders snapped up the land to put up supermarkets and flats on prime, town sites."

The *Express* also acknowledged that Government spending cuts in the educational field had resulted in bad maintenance of sports grounds because of the laying off of groundsmen and in a shortage in the supply of PE teachers, due to a Department of Education directive that these be cut by almost a quarter.

In addition, according to Junior Education Minister Alan Howarth, speaking of the sale of playing fields:-

"We do not know the full extent of the sell-off because the Government won't monitor it, but we do know that over 900 sites have been sold since 1981 in England and Wales."

All in all, the picture shown by these facts amounts to a national scandal — and one for which both the Government and the Opposition are jointly responsible. It should hardly be a surprise, for neither party really sets the store on the health and fitness of the young population that it should do. Despite the fact that Britain now has a 'Minister of Sport', the Government's real efforts to bring sporting and physical recreation facilities up to the standard of those of our international competitors have been pathetic. The flabby and out-of-shape condition of many of our young today is the inevitable result. No wonder Britain's

work performance is bad, and no wonder our tally of olympic medals is well below what it should be.

What we say:-

"We want to encourage the British people to practise rules of healthy living, and in this regard we believe that a national campaign of health education is all-important. Also important is the provision of much greater facilities for healthy exercise for those of all ages, but particularly the young."

Vote for Britain, Election Manifesto of the British National Party 1983

"We believe that far more must be done to provide people of all ages, but particularly the young, with the best possible facilities for the enjoyment of every variety of sport and outdoor recreation — for reasons of promoting greater physical well-being, of taking youngsters off the streets and of improving British performance in international sporting competition."

A New Way Forward, British National Party Statement of Policy

"Physical education in most schools in Britain today is appallingly inadequate, and this can be seen in the depressingly large numbers of people in this country of very poor physique, as well as the high rate of sickness. Regular vigorous body exercise must be a vital part of the school curriculum everywhere, with PE periods increased both in frequency and in the toughness of the schedule...The nation should be prepared to undertake a vast increase in expenditure to provide adequate facilities for all the young to use in the drive for fitness and strength..."

John Tyndall, British National Party leader in *The Eleven Hour*



THE VALUE OF NATIONAL FITNESS
Amenities for sport and physical recreation for young Britons must be brought up to the standards of the best in the world

As Asians riot in our cities, we ask:-

IS MULTI-RACIAL BRITAIN ON THE BRINK?

IS the simmering volcano of Britain's multi-racial society finally about to erupt?

This is the question we ask in the aftermath of another Asian riot in a provincial town which serves as yet another straw in the wind as to the direction in which Britain's multi-racial experiment is now inevitably heading.

Not for the first time, hundreds of Asians went on a berserk rampage on the evening of Saturday, the 19th August, in the East Midlands city of Peterborough. The riot was described in the local newspaper, the *Evening Telegraph*, as an "orgy of destruction lasting several hours." The *Evening Telegraph* was in fact the only newspaper in the country to report the incident.

In June 1988 a similar rampage took place in the city's main centre when masked youths battled with police in the course of a demonstration nominally demanding a ratepayer-funded Asian youth club from the council.

And the pretext this year?

Why, on the morning of the Saturday in question the British National Party had held a routine political activity in the town.

A dozen-strong activist team organised by the East Midlands regional unit distributed official party publicity material across the city. This included

many thousands of leaflets, mostly delivered through the doors of local white residents calling for a return to law and order and patriotic values in Britain and a general clean-up of the nation's life.

The activity was highly successful. Not only did it arouse sympathy and support from members of the public belonging to Peterborough's British majority, it also passed off absolutely peacefully.

The latter fact was acknowledged afterwards by local police, who maintained surveillance throughout.

STORM

Several hours after the BNP had finished its leafletting operation, some 600 Asian youths launched their out-and-out riot in the city centre. Armed with sticks, knives and coshes, the rioters stormed through Peterborough smashing shop windows and damaging property. Any local people in their path were assaulted in random, unprovoked attacks, and one bystander was put in hospital with a broken jaw.

This systematic rampage of hooliganism and thuggery continued until 2 a.m. on the following morning, with police suffering repeated assaults and having to call in reinforcements.

The local media had been notified in advance of the BNP's intention to

promote the party's name and policies to the general public in Peterborough, and some news coverage had been given to the party's plans.

JAMES MORRIS comments

In response to this, it is thought that rumour-mongers within the Asian community then deliberately went to work stirring up the subsequent trouble by inventing a story that, following the BNP's activity in the area, local mosques were going to be attacked!

This almost exactly paralleled what happened in Dewsbury (Yorks.) just two months previously. Then, after the announcement of a coming BNP rally in the town, some Asians put out a rumour that the BNP intended at its rally to burn a copy of the Koran. The rumour was of course preposterous nonsense, but it served to whip up hysteria among many local Asians, who tried to attack the rally and then, having been frustrated in this endeavour, rampaged through the town attacking local property, causing thousands of pounds worth of damage.

In the case of both Dewsbury and Peterborough, our readers will decide for themselves whether, even if the rioters really believed in these bizarre rumours, this would in any way justify



Dewsbury, June 24th 1989: Following a rally of the British National Party in the town, hordes of young Asians attack a local pub. The publican and his staff had nothing whatsoever to do with the BNP, but this did not protect them from the violence seen here

such indiscriminate onslaughts against ordinary British people and their property.

SIGNS OF THE TIMES

One thing is certain, however. Things in Britain are coming to quite a pass when large numbers of immigrants can be successfully worked upon in this way to attack their British host population — and all because a group of ordinary, decent Britons drawn from that same host community, and belonging to a legal political party, decide to put out a public message on the streets of one of their own towns — a message reflecting the views of millions of their fellow countrymen and -women.

Is it now the case, we ask, that when Britons, such as the members of the BNP teams in Peterborough and Dewsbury, step forward to demand that their own land be run in the interests,

and for the welfare, of their own kinsfolk, alien minorities in our midst are instantly going to take this as a 'provocation' and respond with riot and mayhem — not just against the BNP itself but against any other Whites who happen to be in the vicinity?

If so, we can only say that there is going to be a lot of trouble in Britain. More and more of our own folk are going to step forward in the time shortly to come and make the same kinds of demands as does the BNP. It is right, proper and unavoidable that they should.

All these developments indicate that multi-racial Britain is on the brink of civil war. If that civil war comes, it will be the fault, not of the BNP, but of the politicians who have created the disastrous multi-racial society that is now blowing up in their faces.

Race: a glimmer of enlightenment dawns at last!

WELL, WELL, WELL!

After only 35 years or so of multi-racialism, some enlightenment as to its true meaning and consequences has at last come upon just one or two of our leaders of opinion in politics and the media. It is true of course that we nationalists have been warning of the consequences for decades. It is true also that, as a lone establishment voice in the wilderness, Mr. Enoch Powell belatedly took up our refrain in 1968, and paid for his temerity by being sacked from Edward Heath's Tory shadow cabinet.

But apart from this, almost total silence has reigned in the circles of the self-styled 'great and good'.

But we are always being piously exhorted that we should be grateful for small mercies, and such enlightened opinions as are now being expressed by those in public life and the press are better late than never. Complete silence from these ruling circles has now been replaced by at least a few timid decibels on the subjects of mass coloured immigration, multi-racialism and the wholesale ethnic transformation of Britain — the most monstrous, not to say criminal, policy that has been inflicted upon the British people in the whole of their history.

WELL SAID!

In this connection, *British Nationalist* gives two cheers to John Townend, Tory MP for Bridlington (Yorks), who in a recent speech made some effort to give voice to views that quite closely echo many of our own long-standing ones. Said Mr. Townend:-

"If we now weaken and allow in three million Chinese, England as we know it will be lost for ever."

"The fact that the Hong Kong Chinese are very hard-working and hold British passports does not make them British. If millions of Chinese come to the UK they would not integrate and become yellow Englishmen. They could create another China, another Hong Kong in England, just as former immigrants have created another Pakistan in Bradford."

"This possibility should make us consider what has already happened to this green and pleasant land — first as a result of waves of coloured immigrants and then by the pernicious doctrine of multi-culturalism."

"Have we been so debilitated that the English have lost their voices and no longer think of themselves as the sole possessors of England?"

"Every year that goes by, the English are being battered into submission in their

own country, and more strident are the demands of ethnic nationalism. "The British people were never consulted as to whether they would change from being a homogeneous society to a multi-racial society, if they would have voted to keep Britain an English-speaking white country."

We can at least say 'Amen' to that! Meanwhile in one newspaper what multi-racialism means to the ordinary



JOHN TOWNEND
Fine words — but will he back them up with action?

people of our country was brutally spelt out by *Sunday Telegraph* contributor David Lovibond, when he said:-

"Reality is a triumphalist black nationalism which reviles and despises England, demands that every trace of Englishness be condemned as racist, and insists that its own political aspirations be instantly recognised, its 'cultural' excesses indulged and its crimes excused as 'protest action'."

"Bullied and constrained to silence by the law, the English people know what the appeasement of Afro-Caribbean nationalism has meant: drug-trafficking, vicious muggings, rapes, 'steaming' gangs raging through London's tube stations like Zulu imps and terrifyingly loud music used to cause as much misery as possible."

"By slow, shameful stages, our country has been taken away from us. Yet the habit of Englishness lingers and perhaps there is still time to find a new, unapologetic patriotism to rekindle the

spirit of the English race."

Where this all leads, if there is no white man's patriotism, was demonstrated clearly by Lovibond's colleague on the same paper. Mandrake, writing from New York, a city which is a few years further down the path than London and other British cities, said:-

"Race is the unmentionable topic lurking just below the surface of New York dinner table conversation. All honest white New Yorkers will admit that their behaviour is conditioned by fear of black crime. This is not even a matter of conscious thought; the reflexes are automatic. After dark, before shopping, walking or even drinking anywhere, New Yorkers work out the odds."

"Liberals hate discussing race and crime because they hate facing unpalatable facts. Non-liberals tend to avoid discussing race and crime, partly in case there are liberals at the table and partly because they see little point in depressing themselves by talking about an insoluble problem."

NOW LET'S DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT!

But at least in Britain there is no question of the problem being insoluble. Mr. Townend went some way to acknowledging this in his speech:-

"England must be reconquered for the English. Now is the time to start to fight back."

"When Moslems say they cannot live in a country where Salman Rushdie is free to express his views, they should be told they have the answer in their own hands — go back to whence you came."

We say: good — for an establishment spokesman, but not quite perfect.

We do not accept the right of novelist Rushdie to express his opinions on our soil, these being no more friendly to Britain than to Islam. Still less do we accept his right to live the life of Reilly on the proceeds of doing so. In fact, since he is himself an Indian Moslem by birth we do not accept his right to live in Britain at all.

We believe that Britain must implement a compulsory programme of repatriation to embrace both Rushdie and his brethren with whom he has fallen out. If they then decide to continue their theological argument far away from our shores, they are welcome to do so!

As for fighting to achieve "the reconquest of England for the English" (or, as we would prefer to say, the reconquest of Britain for the British); the time to start doing so was years ago. Britain's situation is now critical. Fortunately, because we nationalists did start fighting years ago, we have now built the foundations of a militant white people's movement, in the form of the British National Party. Though we may applaud Mr. Townend for what he has said, we suggest that if he is to be consistent with his fine words he should now desert the Tory Party, which has aided and abetted the invasion he deplores, and enlist in the BNP!

A CASE OF MISSING THE POINT!

THE EXTRACT on the right is taken from the correspondence page of *The Daily Mail*. The writer of the letter, Mr. Tyson Burns-Green of Amersham is apparently upset at what he sees as a piece of 'feminist' propaganda in a kiddies' book featuring the Cinderella story (in the book, it seems, Cinderella starts up her own company and thus strikes a blow for 'Womens' Lib').

But Mr. Tyson Burns-Green seems to have missed a much more insidious piece of propaganda in the book, a picture from which is reproduced in *The Mail*. The 'Prince Charming' with whom Cinderella is seen dancing is BLACK!

Or did the Editor strike this part out?

Left-wing feminists will make us all live unhappily ever after



Romantic: Cinderella
© Walt Disney productions

FOLLOWING your article 'Once upon a feminist fairytale', about the reworking of favourite stories, I am saddened that yet another classic fairytale has been rewritten in the so-called modern view, where feminism reigns.

Who on earth could picture Cinderella 'ditching her suitor and starting her own company called Palace Enterprises'?

The attitude of these

loony feminists aiming for their sexual equality is a complete joke. Why can't they resist the temptation and leave children's books alone? They have brought pleasure to countless people over the years. No doubt the next step will be for some council to classify this part of Walt Disney's heritage as 'sexist' and try to ban the story altogether.

TYSON BURNS-GREEN,
Amersham, Bucks.

Sir, — The letter from Mr. Grimes to his local paper which you printed last month was bang on the nail. The subservience of our Government to the Japanese is utterly revolting and must stink in the nostrils of every war veteran who fought the Japs in the last war — particularly those who were their captives. It makes me (a veteran of the North African campaign) wonder what the hell the war was for.

T.J. BRIGGS
London S.W.9

Sir, — What a shambles was the Government crisis occasioned by the resignation of Chancellor Lawson last month! If anything was needed to provide evidence of the Prime Minister's ineptness of leadership, this was it.

If Maggie could not agree with Lawson on such a vital question as that of the European Monetary System, she should never have appointed him Chancellor in the first place.

YOUR LETTERS

The fact, however, that in the space of 24 hours she lost both Lawson and adviser Sir Alan Walters, who is supposed to be in agreement with her over the EMS, just compounds the proof of her lack of judgement and leadership. For a long time, of course, we have known that the image of Mrs. T. as a 'dynamic leader' has been a phony one deliberately projected by the media. Now there is clear proof of it.

It is amazing, also, that the Tory press does not see anything ridiculous in the fact of Mr. John Major being Foreign Secretary for just a few weeks before switching to become the new Chancellor. This just demonstrates the utter amateurism of the whole business.

R.L. REES-WALKER
Oxshott, Surrey

THE DEPTHS of wickedness that Britain is now starting to plumb were recently revealed with the announcement that an ex-CID man had been arrested and held over a conspiracy to extort money from the Heinz food company by poisoning baby food. That society is now producing people ready to sink to this level is a new revelation, but should give no cause for surprise.

The whole order of things under which we live might have been calculated to feed the message to such creatures that now is their chance to try their hands at this kind of thing. To begin with, the scene was set back in the 1960s and subsequently, when mainly Labour governments did their damndest to entrench permissive attitudes in our society, doing away with settled ideas of right and wrong, and concentrating our people's focus on materialistic values instead.

Just recently, Mrs. Thatcher was bleating about how hard she found it to understand why such wickedness as that prompting the IRA bombing of Deal barracks was rampant in Britain on its present scale when, as she put it, politicians like herself had made every-

Crime and immorality: politicians now being hoist on their own petard

body so well off materially. If nothing else, this may be seen as a comment on our Prime Minister's level of intelligence and insight.

After all, the whole modern Tory philosophy, which Mrs. Thatcher herself has been in the forefront of promoting, might have been tailor-made to create favourable conditions for such behaviour. Not so long ago the Prime Minister was preaching that there was no such thing as society, only individuals.

It is basic to this creed that all that matters is satisfying private greed. To this end, every individual member of society must have the right to "do his own thing." There must be no restraint imposed

by a sense of higher national purpose. There must be no kind of ethical standard promoted and enforced by those in government.

In a word, it might be said that the contemporary Tory credo has, in practice, fitted perfectly with the sort of permissiveness originally set in motion by Labour.

It would seem to be of little use for Mrs. Thatcher to plead that she wants to 'free' people to an almost unlimited extent economically, but that she expects each individual to show restraint in moral conduct. The fact is that the kind of greedy cynicism which makes fortunes from parasitical stock-exchange skullduggery, whether legal or not, is much the same as the mentality of ruthless selfishness of the average criminal, including the sort who levies blackmail money by poisoning baby food.

All our 'liberal-democratic' politicians, including Mrs. Thatcher, have been guilty of letting this mentality loose. By doing so they have opened a Pandora's box. And moral anarchy, now running out of control, is the result.

FRANK GARRETT

AGAINST THE RISING TIDE OF VIOLENCE...

Do they want us to be defenceless?

IT almost seems as if the powers-that-be in Britain are more concerned to cripple the will of the law-abiding public to defend themselves against violent thugs than they are to bring the thugs to book.

Today, all manner of vicious humanoids, armed to the teeth, are on the prowl against the law-abiding man and woman in the street, raising mugging, rape and other crimes to new heights in the way of the number committed. In London the Metropolitan Police has come close to admitting that it can barely cope with the crime explosion, and that in the face of this situation the public are practically "on their own."

But this has not stopped the authorities from bringing prosecutions against individuals who try to defend themselves. The latest case of this was 'have-a-go' hero John Graham, who stabbed a thug to death whilst trying to stop a burglary on a neighbour's flat in the crime-ridden South East London suburb of Brockley.

Slightly built Mr. Graham, 53, caught two thieves red-handed in the course of

Some two years ago, Mr. Eric Butler was attacked by a gang of black muggers on an underground train, one of whom tried to strangle him in the course of robbing him. 56-year-old Mr. Butler drew out a swordstick he had been carrying, which was disguised as an umbrella, and plunged it into his attacker's stomach.

Despite his years, his good character and his obvious respectability, and once again despite all the circumstances surrounding his action, Mr. Butler was hauled before a court and charged with possessing an 'offensive weapon'. On this occasion no sympathy whatever was forthcoming from the court.

He was fined £200, given a 28-day suspended prison sentence and ran up a bill of £3,000 in legal fees. Apparently, in the court's eyes he should have allowed the thugs to do as they liked to him.

In these days of 'steaming' and the like it is a well-known fact that the travelling public on the London Tube, as elsewhere in the capital, has been virtually abandoned to the mercies of the kinds of animals who stalk the trains and passengers day and night almost at will. Nor is it any secret that the transport police are almost powerless much of the time to protect the law-abiding citizen at all.

But no allowance whatever was made for this in Mr. Butler's case, despite the fact that, quite apart from anything else, it stands to reason that a respectable, middle-aged English gentleman such as he would not have been carrying a weapon for any offensive purpose. Common sense should indicate that Mr. Butler's swordstick was for the sole purpose of defending himself in the event of attack by precisely the kind of creatures who did set upon him — most of whom are known themselves to go about armed to the teeth.

Things have come to a pass when the authorities can barely protect the ordinary citizen any longer. It is even worse when they then deny that citizen the right to compensate for this failure by defending himself.

AMERICAN EXAMPLE

This official attitude is not the same everywhere else in the world, as two recent cases in the USA bear out. When 11-year-old Billy Knight of Spartanburg, South Carolina, shot down and killed two intruders into his home with a .22 rifle, far from charging him with any crime, the local police chief said that he had acted correctly to protect his family and should be considered a hero. The county coroner said: "His life was in danger. He was a very brave young man." And when 96-year-old Fred Green and his 71-year-old wife were attacked in their San Antonio, Texas, home by a youth from a neighbouring black ghetto, Mr. Green shot the youth down. A local homicide detective said: "This is clearly a case of justified homicide." He praised Mr. Green for "having his wits about him."

But, on the contrary, Britain's rulers have not only allowed criminal thuggery to take hold of our country, it seems they are also doing all in their power to render law-abiding Britons defenceless against it.

JOHN HOWARD

DRUGS: THE 'CRACK' EXPLOSION TIME FOR THE PUSSYFOOTING TO STOP


WITH the advent of 'crack', the highly addictive and potentially lethal cocaine derivative, Britain is threatened with a huge new surge, not only of drug addiction, but of the violent crime that the drugs trade has brought in its wake throughout the western world. In the face of this sinister alien assault, Mrs. Thatcher and her Government now want us to believe that they intend to take serious action.

In a blaze of official publicity earlier this year, the Prime Minister put herself at the head of what was described as a 'national campaign' to stop crack getting a hold in Britain. More recently Douglas Hurd, while still Home Secretary, went on record with the claim that the Government would do "everything possible" to stop the spread of this deadly narcotic.

There is no doubt about the seriousness of the menace. But there is every reason to doubt that the Government has any real will to take the measures necessary to eliminate it.

THIRD WORLD ORIGINS

It is no secret that the international crime syndicates flooding Britain and the West with cocaine and other



CRACK VICTIM
Thousands of our people are now menaced by this evil Third World import

addictive drugs are centred in the Third World. For example, both the British and American Governments are currently huffing and puffing against the cocaine barons of the South American banana republic of Bolivia.

The US is awash with drugs from this source, and the bosses of the Latin American 'Coca Mafia' are now looking to other markets in the West, where big profits are to be had and the maximum ruin is to be wrought on our civilisation. Not least among the countries targeted is Britain.

Nor is it any secret that, just as in the

United States, it is to a large extent via the Third World populations that our own governments have allowed to set up on our own soil that this poison is being pumped into our midst. The godfathers of the international drug trade ruthlessly exploit the Afro-Asian communities in all western countries for this purpose.

To quote a recent *Daily Express* report, crack-dealing in Britain is "largely controlled by the Jamaican community." Police efforts to stem the supply overwhelmingly focus on the Black Mafia known as the 'Yardies'.

This alien crime network originated in the West Indies, spread to the black ghettos of US cities, and has now gained a foothold in Britain. It is thought to have boosted its clout through its international tie-ups with Israeli hoodlums.

NO LIKELIHOOD OF FIRM ACTION

These facts are almost enough on their own to show that little more than pussyfooting with the problem is to be expected from Britain's rulers.

It was Mrs. Thatcher and her Tory Government who, with their fatuous Scarman Report and their insistence on so-called 'community policing', first emasculated the forces of law and order in Britain when it came to dealing with ethnic minority criminals. For fear of riots that might upset 'race relations', it was they who practically surrendered the streets of so many of Britain's towns and cities to these thugs. Since, as is now publicly admitted, this is the very class of criminal most deeply involved in drug-pushing at street level, it could be said that it was none other than Mrs. Thatcher and her Government who, by their policies, first set the scene for the crack explosion.


There can be no doubt as to where this is likely to lead Britain. A typical example of a neighbourhood which has been abandoned to the pushers is to be found in the nightmare jungle of South London's Milton Court Estate. 'Factories' for the production of crack have been discovered inside flats in the area. With the estate invaded by drug-dealers, things have now reached the point at which, in the words of one resident, "this estate can't be saved. The nights are the worst. There are some terrible fights. The dealers are outside

until the early hours — sometimes five or six in the morning. The police try, but as soon as they appear the dealers run to ground, then spring up again when they've gone."

Meanwhile, in Britain as in America, the pushers are growing in aggression and self-confidence to the point where they are quite prepared to take on police physically and with firearms. The riot in the Heath Town district of Wolverhampton last May, which occurred in response to a police drugs raid on a local pub, was just one small straw in the wind as to the way things are moving.

THE ONLY SOLUTION

All that the likes of Mrs. Thatcher and President Bush can come up with, in their response to this problem, is a package of measures designed to 'contain' it. Typical of this policy are their current efforts at pleading with 'Third World' regimes like that of Columbia to clamp down on their cocaine barons, bribing them with aid at



CRACK
The new evil that threatens Britain

the expense of our taxpayers to do so.



The true reality is that the West's drug problems can finally be solved only as part and parcel of a radical reordering of our relations with the Third World. This is necessary on many other grounds too. It will mean putting down Third World influence in the councils of the world, and, most of all, removing it altogether from our own home soil. With these measures a first step will have been taken towards saving the health and sanity of our own folk from the drugs menace.

But this new order of things will not come from our present politicians. Their timidity in dealing with the drug-pushers in Britain in the past makes this clear. Only entirely new leadership and an entirely new approach will wipe the menace out.

GET RID OF THESE DO-GOODER PESTS!

THE FELLOW pictured on the right here is the Rev. Peter Timms. He is one of Britain's profuse legions of trendy vicars. The Rev. Timms has recently been very active in a campaign to win the release from prison of Moors murderer Myra Hindley, whom he now describes as "very gentle."

Our comment is that the likes of the Rev. Timms are as great a menace to society as the Hindleys and Bradys. When, oh when, is someone going to rid us of these do-gooder pests?



JOHN GRAHAM
Charged with 'murder' for defending himself

their raid. When he bravely intervened, the two attacked him with a tyre-lever and a claw-hammer.

The thug with the hammer hit Mr. Graham about the head, grabbed him around the neck and forced him into a stooping position. In desperation, Mr. Graham struck at his assailant with a lock-knife that he was fortunate enough to have with him, stabbing him in the chest and accidentally killing him.

Police found Mr. Graham lying on a landing in the block of flats, badly bruised and battered.

THE OFFICIAL MIND

The circumstances in which he had killed his attacker did not prevent a charge of murder being brought against him, and even though, following magistrate's court proceedings, this charge has now been fully dropped this does not make the matter any less disturbing. The fact is that the authorities have revealed the way that they think.

Their natural first reaction, apparently, is to regard a man who acts courageously in his own defence and that of his neighbour, not as the hero that he obviously is, but as a criminal on a par with his assailants!

Nor is this the first case of its kind.

SPREADING THE WORD

Items available from the
BNP Bookshop

154 Upper Wickham Lane
Welling
Kent DA16 3DP

Telephone: 01 316 4721

Open Tuesdays to Fridays, 10 a.m. to 5.30 p.m.



RECORDINGS

B.N.P. RECORDINGS

Rally '87: Video-recording of BNP London rally in October 1987. Speeches by John Tyndall, Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, David Bruce and Stanley Clayton-Garrett.

Rally '82: Sound recording of the BNP London rally in October 1982.


Tyndall Speaks I: Sound-recorded talks on racial heritage and economic crisis.

Tyndall Speaks II: Sound-recorded talks on nationalism and World War II.


Tyndall Speaks III: Sound-recorded talks on repatriation and the national community.

Tyndall Speaks IV: Sound-recorded talks on unemployment and the racial time-bomb.

Video: £15 plus 71p p&p.
Sound: £3.50 plus 28p p&p.



B.N.P. MERCHANDISE



Above: BNP rosettes. £1 each plus 28p p&p. No p&p charge for orders of 10 or over.

Top right: BNP patch. Well-made, eye-catching, suitable for sewing on anoraks or combat jackets. Features the BNP logo in red, white and blue. 2 1/2in diameter. £1.50 inc. p&p.

Lower right: BNP beer mats with large red, white and blue party logo and party name and address on white background. Samples for £1 or packs at £5/£10/£20 inc. p&p.




THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY




BNP, P.O. Box 446, London SE23 2LS

BOOKS



IQ and Racial Differences, by Dr. Henry E. Garrett, PhD, ScD. Excellent introduction to the scientific analysis of racial differences. Dr. Garrett blows apart the liberal fantasy of racial equality with ease. His easily-read book shows why heredity is the major factor in human intelligence and why this has such tremendous implications for our educational system. 54 pages. £1 plus 28p p&p.


IQ and Racial Differences



HENRY E. GARRETT

The Eleventh Hour, by John Tyndall. Written by the BNP Chairman when he was in prison on the charge of publishing material likely to stir up 'race hatred', this book is the one all nationalists have been waiting for. The book is in two parts. The first part describes the author's early years and introduction to, and progress through, the nationalist movement. Following this is the most comprehensive presentation yet to appear of the case for British Nationalism. 609 pages. Paperback £10 plus £1.95 p&p; hardback £15 plus £2.40 p&p.


B.N.P. STICKERS



OUTLAW
HOMOSEXUALITY!




Protect our women and old folk
STAMP OUT MUGGERS!



Fight subversion
SMASH COMMUNISM!



LOVE THE WHITE RACE
Protect its future!



Save our cities from this (riot)...
START REPATRIATION!



As more unemployment rolls off the boats, we say...
BAN IMPORTS!




Protect our young from child murderers...
BRING BACK THE ROPE!




Stickers measure 3 1/2in x 2 1/2in. Self-adhesive at £1.50 per 100; gummed back at 40p per 100. Postage costs 26p per 100.



Scrap the Anglo-Irish Agreement
KEEP ULSTER BRITISH!



ABORTION IS CHILD MURDER
Make it illegal!




Free speech is under attack -
DEFEND IT!
Mentions prosecutions and bans against nationalists




Support Ulster
Smash terrorism
HANG I.R.A. MURDERERS!



Oppose the disarmers
Build up our forces
MAKE BRITAIN STRONG



CND are Moscow's puppets
Don't be fooled by them
KEEP BRITAIN'S BOMB!



She freezes in Winter while
Third World gets £1,000 million
a year
PUT BRITISH PEOPLE
BEFORE ALIENS!

T-SHIRTS & POSTERS



FIGHTING for race+nation

Get this exciting new black-on-white design T-shirt or A2-size poster. T-shirts only £5 plus 26p p&p. Posters £1.50 inc. p&p. Send cheques or POs to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. If ordering T-shirt, remember to state shirt size.

Report from the East Midlands

by JOHN PEACOCK
NOTTINGHAM

Moslems earlier this year were praised by police following a 'peaceful' anti-Rushdie march through Nottingham. It would seem that there were no attempts to burn copies of the book (*The Satanic Verses*, by Salman Rushdie), as happened during a previous rally. Nice 'peaceful' people.

Recently a bookshop in the centre of the city was targeted for allegedly selling *The Satanic Verses* — a terrorist bomb was planted on the shop premises.

Sherwood MP Mr. Andy Stewart has been calling on the electorate to vote for Conservative candidates who are pledged to support the return of capital punishment. Newark MP Richard Alexander also agreed with the death penalty, in his own words, "for most kinds of murder."

However, since the release of the 'Guildford Four' (who have not necessarily been found to be innocent) most Tories who had previously supported capital punishment have suddenly changed their minds.

The leaders of Britain seem unable to make firm decisions as to the appropriate punishment for murderers.

It's interesting to note that those nice 'peaceful' Moslems haven't changed their minds about Salman Rushdie — they still want him dead!

PETERBOROUGH

The British people of Peterborough are under attack, as clearly highlighted in *British Nationalist* and *Spearhead*. Here are a few more examples of how the 'visible minority', aided and abetted by sick-minded 'Brits', seeks to impose its customs and practices upon the British people.

Schools in Peterborough are not doing enough to promote Moslem teaching in lessons and assemblies, according to angry Asians. City Councillor Harmesh Lakhapaul said: "We are the visible minority. It should not be forgotten that we are living in a multi-cultural, multi-racial society." The British majority, confronted with an anti-Rushdie mob which staged a hate-filled march through Peterborough, just as through Nottingham, did notice.

Deputy Mayor Mohammed Hussain said: "There are not enough parents on school governing bodies doing enough to incorporate other religions." The Beeches and Gladstone Primary Schools both have 90-95 per-cent Asian pupils — a revelation which came as a surprise to most British people living in Peterborough.

Two Peterborough policemen have been on a special fact-finding mission to the USA. Due to a growing concern over increasing 'crack' problems in the major cities, Cambridgeshire Police believe that "it's only a matter of time before it spreads to the regions."

LEICESTER

Leicester West MP Mr. Greville Janner, who is a magician, wants to perform his biggest sleight-of-hand act yet — he wants to control the secret forces of nature by turning the civil service black.

One observant person has called on Mr. Janner to perform his act now. Denis J. Taylor of Wigston wrote: "Leicester West's Labour MP Greville Janner condemns the civil service for having only 1.1 per-cent Blacks or Asians in higher grades. But non-white MPs are only 0.63 per-cent of the House of Commons."

Mr. Taylor suggests that Mr. Janner should perform that trick where the magician mysteriously changes place with his assistant — in other words, that he should change places with a black or Asian parliamentary candidate.

During his national tour of 'peaceful' protest against *The Satanic Verses*, Mr. Ahmed Deedat, leading Islamic speaker, told his audience in Highfields, Leicester that, not only has the book offended Moslems but also that it has "insulted the Queen."


History shows that Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi (Mahatma) campaigned for 'peaceful' civil disobedience in order to secure independence for India from the British Empire. That 'peaceful' civil disobedience turned into one of the bloodiest wars ever.

WITCHHUNTERS CONFRONTED

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY has recently taken up the cause of the elderly men of East European origin living in Britain who are being hounded by Zionists for alleged 'war crimes'. Not the least objectionable aspect of this affair is that the Zionists are putting pressure on Britain to introduce retroactive legislation to enable these men to be charged with offences that did not

exist at the time they were supposed to have committed them — contrary to all British traditions of justice.

At a recent conference in London held to promote the campaign against these men, a BNP party, led by Richard Edmonds, staged a demonstration. The demo won big publicity on national TV news and also got mentions in *The Times* and *Evening Standard*.



CONFRONTATION!
Irate 'holocaust' conference delegate faces BNP demonstrator Steve Tyler — could we be seeing Henry Kissinger's twin brother?

BNP focus on the regions: (2)

SCOTLAND

THE dramatic phoenix-like rise of the British National Party in Scotland this year has been nothing short of phenomenal. So rapid has been the growth of the party north of the border that units there have multiplied. Instead of the previous two, there are now five — with a sixth soon in the offing.

At the beginning of the year most of the activity of the party in Scotland was concentrated on Glasgow, with a tiny group in Inverness. Since then a storm of expanded activity has seen not only Glasgow increase in size and strength but the spread of the BNP into a number of other areas.

The growth in the Glasgow branch has resulted in the division of the branch, for the purpose of routine leafletting operations, into two groups, responsible for the north and south of the River Clyde respectively. They combine, however, for branch meetings and for regular paper sales in the city centre and at Ibrox Park football ground. Credit for expansion in Glasgow goes to many people, but particularly deserving of mention are Organiser Steve Cartwright, Ian McMillan, Harry Mullin and Gus McLeod.

The BNP in Scotland continues to force its way into the headlines. At a recent conference of the race traitors of the Scottish 'National' Party one delegate complained on TV that the Glasgow BNP was "out and active every day." He whined that 'racism' and the British National Party were the "biggest threat facing Scotland." Elsewhere both the *Scottish Observer* and *Sun* have warned against the growing threat of the BNP in Scotland.

More good news is that new groups have been formed this year in Edinburgh, Dundee and Aberdeen, with a further one in prospect soon in the Stirling area. BNP members in Inverness have been a real credit to the party. This most isolated and northerly group is constantly active, stickering and leafletting.

The recent inaugural meeting of the Edinburgh group was a fantastic success. Slightly more than 50 people, nearly all local, were in attendance to hear Gus McLeod lash the Tories and their poll tax and call on the Scottish people to rally to the banner of British Nationalism. The enthusiasm generated has seen Edinburgh get off to a flying start, with regular meetings, paper sales, leafletting and stickering. Edinburgh is already a unit of substantial size and will soon qualify for full branch status.

In Dundee the BNP's new unit, led by Gareth Norman, has caused major panic among the city's race traitors. A poster campaign was launched to coincide with the visit to Dundee of black militant MP Bernie Grant. Massive publicity resulted, and membership enquiries have increased in the aftermath.

A measure of BNP growth in Scotland this year was seen in the excellent turn-out of Scottish members at the party's annual rally in London. From Glasgow, Edinburgh, Dundee, Inverness and Falkirk came the fighters for the BNP in Scotland. Earlier, in September, the BNP in Scotland made its impact at the finals of the party's football competition. Glasgow branch travelled down to Epping for the event and took the trophy back home after a keenly contested battle!

But the year is not over yet! 1989's St. Andrew's Day rally is to be held in Edinburgh on December 2nd, and this should be Scotland's biggest and most successful BNP event to date.

Scotland, for so long dormant, is now resurgent under the banner of the British National Party. With the natural patriotic instinct of the Scots being what it is, the traitors north of the border had better beware!

TONY WELLS



THE B.N.P. IN SCOTLAND
Areas underlined are those where the party has some organised activity

Publicity in Dundee

THE BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY won its first piece of publicity in Dundee recently following the enterprise of the very recently formed local unit in covering the city with posters. The poster campaign was timed to coincide with a visit to the area of black Labour MP Bernie Grant. The posters raised quite a local furore, with some of the local left-wingers reporting them to the police. Quick to protest was the Tayside Community Relations Council, headed by a gentleman rejoicing in the fine old Scottish name of Albert Jacob. Said Mr. Jacob: "These posters would disgrace an 18th century slave-driver." What the posters had to do with slavery he did not explain.

The posters were reported in the local *Evening Telegraph and Courier and Advertiser*. Well done, Dundee BNP!

'OPPO' HYSTERIA IN DUNDEE
A recent report in the local paper raising the alarm over BNP activity

Racist poster campaign in Dundee

Racist posters, printed by what is claimed to be an offshoot of the National Front, have appeared in Dundee it was revealed today.

A monitoring team from Tayside Community Relations Council discovered them in an underpass and tore them down. They have now been passed to police, who are circulating details to forces throughout the country.

This week's poster incident, involving the British National Party, is the first in five years, said TCRC chairman, Dr Albert Jacob today.

Indoor rally:-

EDINBURGH

Saturday, December 2nd (near to St. Andrew's Day)

Speakers will include:-

**GUS McLEOD, HARRY MULLIN
DAVID BRUCE, JOHN TYNDALL**

Rendezvous for redirection in forecourt of Haymarket Station: 2 p.m.

London rally best ever

THE LONDON RALLY staged by the British National Party on October 14th was without any doubt the most successful event in the party's history. Just fractionally under 300 people crowded into the hall to attend the meeting. They came from almost all parts of the United Kingdom, and by general consent they were not disappointed at the outcome of their travels.

The afternoon was a triumph of organisation and presentation. Not only was the hall almost filled — fully justifying the party's confidence that the attendance would be considerably larger than last year — but the overall display, speeches and general impact made a tremendous impression on all those present to whom we have spoken. After a wind-up of stirring martial

music, the rally began, with Mark Wilson of Epping Forest branch in the chair. To begin with, there were three highly interesting regional reports from the Midlands, Wales and Scotland, given by John Peacock, Tony Morgan and Gus McLeod respectively. News from Wales was particularly welcome, as the progress of the BNP there is not too widely known. Tony Morgan not only presented facts about development in South Wales but was able to report sterling work in North Wales, led by Anglesey-based Alun Jones.

These reports were followed by one of wider dimensions from National Organiser David Bruce, who proclaimed that 1989 had been without question the best ever year of publicity for the BNP.

After the interval the audience was treated to an excellent speech by Harry Mullin of Glasgow branch in which the speaker related the process by which he became converted from communism to the policies of the BNP, after recognising the Zionist influence behind his former creed.

He was followed by Richard Edmonds, who spoke of the vital importance of regular street activities and the need greatly to increase distribution of the party's literature.

Then came collection time, conducted as usual by David Bruce. This year party supporters excelled themselves by raising a record sum of over £2,500!

The final item of the day was the speech by BNP leader John Tyndall. The main points of Mr. Tyndall's address are featured on page two of this issue. Looking ahead to the new century, now just over a decade away, Mr. Tyndall gave a resumé of the changes that would be necessary in Britain for this country to face that new century with hope for the future. All this, he said, would depend on whether the nationalist movement can succeed in getting its needed revolution well under way in the final decade of the present century, due to begin only 2½ months afterwards.

In his closing preroration Mr. Tyndall said: "Far in the future, when the history of this time is written, let it be said of us that we lived up to the mighty traditions of our ancestors, that we were prepared to fight, to the last drop of blood, so that a great nation might rise again!"

The rally ended on this note, with the audience erupting in tremendous enthusiasm.

Literature sales at the rally broke all previous records, and in the evening those able to stay in London enjoyed what was surely the best ever party social — thanks here being due to Eddy Butler of Tower Hamlets.



THE RALLY
Top: Richard Edmonds speaks on publicity; middle: Harry Mullin relates his conversion from communism to the BNP; bottom: a section of the crowd

Photos by Richard Fawcus

It's York, November 12th!

REMEMBRANCE DAY 1989 will be marked by the British National Party in the way of a short outdoor rally in York on Sunday, November 12th. Already opposition groups have raised a great furore against this event, and counter-demonstrations are promised. Even Liberal Democrat leader Paddy Ashdown has given his blessing to the anti-BNP campaign!

Because of expected policing arrangements, it is not possible for us here to publicise the location of the rally, nor any rendezvous point for same. All those wishing to attend should make contact with their local BNP branches and groups, which will be travelling to the event on the day and assembling at a point which will only be disclosed at the last moment to local organisers.

See you at York on the 12th!

NEW BUILDING FUND: £2,487.00 NEEDED!

Contributions to the BNP New Building Fund have totalled a meagre £60 since last month. We must try to do better. We still have to raise £2,487.00 to meet our target. The money is urgently needed to meet building costs.	£5,000	☐
	£4,000	☐
	£3,000	☐
	£2,000	☐
	£1,000	☐

Please send all contributions to New Building Fund, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please give generously!

NATIONALIST RESPONSE: Quarterly publication of South Wales BNP. Single copies 50p incl. p&p. Annual subscription £2.50. Summer/Autumn 1989 issue now available. Orders to PO Box 214, Cardiff CF3 9YB.

You are invited to join a housing co-operative. Need help? Want to help? Write to: Housing, BM Box 3958, London WC1N 3XX

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 60p. Yearly subscription £10.50. 84p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 25p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.50	+ 70p post
25 copies £5.50	+ £2.30 post
50 copies £10.00	+ £2.85 post
100 copies £17.50	+ £3.30 post
150 copies £25.00	+ £3.80 post
200 copies £30.00	+ £4.20 post
300 copies £42.00	+ £5.50 post
400 copies £55.00	+ £5.50 post
500 copies £65.00	+ Bulk rates
1,000 copies £125.00	by Roadline


Cheques/postal orders to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *British Nationalist* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Campus hysteria against BNP

BNP activist Kevin Scott continues to be the focus of frenzied attention by left-wing militants at Newcastle University, where he has enrolled as a student. Kevin reports that at the very beginning of the recent new term the reds are again trying to intimidate him. In a recent article in *No. 10*, the university's political magazine, thinly veiled hints are given that there will be a fresh attempt to change the student's union rules so as to get him expelled — merely on account of his political views (Kevin has been too busy with his studies to promote any activities on the campus).

His reply is: "Come what may, I intend to stand firm."

Typical scene at Newcastle University. The campus reds are obsessed with the (one-man) presence of the BNP — see banner above entrance



SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £5.15 for 12 issues to subscribers in the British Isles and £7.00 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £2 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....

What we stand for

An end to party warfare in Britain and the establishment of a government of national unity, with a parliament elected by occupational franchise
British withdrawal from the European Common Market
Termination of the multi-racial Commonwealth but preservation of the White Commonwealth
Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain; the creation of full employment by the building up of domestic industries to produce goods to replace those now imported
A sane financial system that will give the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
Massive regeneration of British farming, so as to enable the nation to achieve much greater self-sufficiency in food production
British ownership and control of British industry and resources
An end to immigration and a start to repatriation of ethnic minorities
A massive switch of overseas aid to helping the needy at home
The strengthening of Britain's defences, including restoration of national service
Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
The smashing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
A great national effort to restore traditional moral values, aimed at strengthening the family and recreating the fabric for a sound and healthy social order

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
(Tick appropriate box):

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose the yearly fee of £12 (half-rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....